#### **Including Marketing in Measures of Capital**

Presentation by Rachel Soloveichik

Based on Sveikauskas et al. 2023, a joint BEA/BLS project which will be published soon in the *Review of Income and Wealth* 




**Presentation to BEA Advisory Committee** 

October 13th 2023

# Growth Impact of Intangible Capital Assets

Revision to Average Annual Growth Rates for Select Periods





- Guidance note on marketing (IMF 2022)
  - Marketing assets are an important part of the modern global economy
  - Reason for not treating marketing assets as fixed assets is due to the difficulty of measuring their value

# **Questions for Committee**



- What existing datasets can shed light on this question?
- Could new datasets like on-the-job time diaries help?
- How can we estimate marketing prices back to 1929?
- How do digital ads improve marketing asset quality?
  - Did previous technology improvements like television or direct mail change quality?
- Can we split marketing investment between capital and labor?
- How should we measure the lifespan for marketing assets?





# **Presentation Outline**

- Show that marketing can be useful for >1 year
  - Explain relationship between entertainment originals (EO), "free" content, and marketing

### Marketing categories studied

- Advertising; website hosting/design; marketing consulting; and marketing research (surveys)
- Our paper tracks both purchased and own-account marketing (in-house marketing by media companies and marketing as a secondary product by non-media companies)
- Our calculations assume a fixed share of marketing output is capitalized

#### • Research left for future

- Impact of digital targeting and other technology on capital quality
- Other topics: lifespan for marketing assets; intangible capital interactions, marketing owned by workers (Koh et al. 2020), spillovers

# Marketing Can Be Useful for >1 Year



#### Randomized control trials

- Households who saw extra cable ads for specific products bought more of those products for at least two years (Lodish et al. 1995).
- Cities which saw extra ads for the 2010 Census (Bates et al. 2012) had higher self-response in 2020

#### Natural experiments

- Areas of East Germany that saw advertising-supported West German TV acted differently even after reunification (Bursztyn and Cantoni 2016)
- Migrants buy specific brands that were advertised in their birth city for decades after a move (Bronnenberg et al. 2012)
- Car makers advertised during WW2 even though they didn't produce civilian cars (Martin 2012)
- Companies which stopped advertising during WW1 lost market share after the war (Stole 2012)





- "Goodwill" and "trademarks" are used by business accountants
- "Customer capital" and "brand" are used by economists (IMF 2022) (Gourio and Rudanko 2014) (Bronnenberg et al. 2012)
- "Carry-over" and "long-term effect" are used by marketers
- National accountants have started studying marketing assets
  - Sveikauskas et al. 2023, Heys and Fotopoulou 2022, Corrado et al. 2022, Silk and Berndt 2020, Corrado and Hao 2014, so on
- Marketing assets are separate from other intangible assets
  - Marketing = public awareness about a product/brand/category
  - R&D = scientific knowledge, software = computer instructions & EO = movies/tv show/etc.




#### Entertainment Originals ≠ Free Content ≠ Marketing



- EO = copyrighted assets that are owned by media companies
  - Short-lived EO is an intermediate input and long-lived EO is an intangible asset
  - GDP  $\uparrow$  when long-lived EO creation is investment (Soloveichik 2013a-e)
- "Free" content is created by combining an EO with other inputs
  - Example: broadcasters combine TV shows with broadcast equipment
  - Free content is a barter transaction: users voluntarily trade attention in return for content
  - GDP  $\uparrow$  when free consumer content is included in personal consumption (Nakamura et al. 2018)
- Marketing is created by combining attention and a message
  - Attention can be either given in return for content or taken without permission (i.e. telemarketing)
  - Short-lived marketing is an intermediate input and long-lived marketing is an intangible asset
  - GDP  $\uparrow$  when long-lived marketing asset creation is investment (Sveikauskas et al. 2023)

#### Four Marketing Categories




- Printing and sign manufacturers (NAICS 3231 and 3399) produce paper materials, signs, and so on
- Publishers/broadcasters (NAICS 51) provide print page space, time slots, and web space
- Advertising agencies (NAICS 5418) design and run marketing and public relations campaigns
- Three smaller categories each include only part of a commodity
  - Website design and hosting (NAICS 5182 and 5415) covers non-software digital
  - Marketing consulting (NAICS 5416) covers planning of marketing campaigns
  - Marketing research (NAICS 5419) covers survey collection and analysis
- Data taken from BEA's input-output (IO) tables, the Economic Census, the Service Annual Survey, and other sources



#### Marketing Output Over Time Nominal Spending Relative to Nominal GDP






## Capitalized Share of Marketing Output



- Product-focused marketing is embedded in product price
- Like other embedded assets, it is not tracked separately as its own capital asset
- Examples of marketing asset investment:
- Brand-focused marketing which builds general customer loyalty
- Category-focused marketing which builds general customer awareness
- Signs and promotional products like t-shirts are themselves long-lived
- We take our capital shares from Heys and Fotopoulou (2022):
- Purchased advertising is 60 percent investment
- Purchased non-advertising marketing is 80 percent investment
- Own-account marketing is often product-focused and is 30 percent investment

# Marketing Investment Over Time

#### Nominal Spending as a Share of Nominal GDP





#### Marketing Asset Price Discussion




- Marketing uses content to attract attention
  - Lower content creation costs mean that viewers expect better content (Nakamura et al. 2018)
- Price = BEA's advertising commodity deflator for 1997-2020
  - Assumption: this price measures the nominal cost per unit of attention
  - Assumptions: the nominal cost per unit of attention is the same for purchased marketing and ownaccount marketing & the same for advertising and non-advertising marketing

#### • Historical price = weighted average of subcomponent prices

- BLS's PPI's and BEA industry deflators are the main sources of subcomponent prices
- Supplemented hourly advertising costs, proxy price series, and expert judgment
- Pre-1982 price is more speculative than recent price indexes

#### Marketing Asset Prices Over Time

#### Investment Costs Relative to Overall GDP Prices, 2012 Base Year = 1





### Future Research: Marketing Asset Quality



- Techniques that increase short-term effect:
- Targeting marketing towards customers likely to respond (Wernerfelt et al. 2022)
- A/B tests to fine-tune marketing messages for each customer (Koning et al. 2019) (Tadelis et al. 2023)
- Quick and accurate data collection about marketing effectiveness (Macdonald et al. 2012)
- Short-term marketing quality is likely rising:
- Digital marketing is more effective than print because it uses all those techniques (Mandel 2019)
- Even nondigital marketing uses the techniques above more nowadays
- Question: Is marketing asset quality rising?
- We don't know the techniques that which increase long-term effects
- Distractions and polarization may offset technology improvement




### Accounting Treatment of Marketing Assets

- Revisions to measured GDP:
  - Private for-profit output  $\uparrow$  by the newly tracked investment
  - Government and non-profit output  $\uparrow$  by the newly tracked CFC
- Statistical discrepancy is unaffected
- BEA's fixed asset stock  $\uparrow$  when marketing assets are included
- Revisions to measured productivity:
  - Measured intermediate inputs  $\downarrow$  by marketing purchases which are shifted to capital
  - Measured output  $\uparrow$  by newly tracked own-account marketing output
  - Marketing capital services are tracked as an additional production input



# GDP Quantity Revision from Marketing


Revision to Average Annual Growth Rates for Select Periods





#### TFP Revisions from Output and Services Revision to Average Annual Growth Rates for Select Periods







#### Future Research: Other Topics

#### Intangible capital interactions for trademarks:

- R&D to develop a new product
- Marketing to develop a brand and teach customers about the brand
- Software to manufacture the product consistently
- Organizational capital to make the customer experience consistent

#### • Marketing as a consumer durable

- Some intangible assets may be owned by workers rather than businesses (Koh et al. 2020)
- Shifting marketing from a business asset to human capital doesn't change GDP
- Marketing spillovers (negative or positive)
  - Like other externalities, marketing spillovers are not tracked in GDP (SNA 2008, section 3.92)

#### Conclusion



## • Capitalizing marketing assets is feasible

- We already have similar data on marketing as BEA has for other IPP's
- Other developed countries also have the necessary data to include marketing assets in their national accounts
- Measured growth changes when marketing is included in GDP
  - Real GDP growth before 2010 falls slightly
  - Real GDP growth after 2010 rises noticeably
- BEA needs to coordinate with BLS and other agencies
  - Marketing assets may require their own specialized price indexes

